Single Bench (1)

C.S.1092

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 582 OF 2005

PETITIONER : S. K. Chouhan, aged about 52 years, son of Late M. S. Singh Chouhan, Inspector, R.P.F. East Coast Railway Jagdalpur, District Bastar, (C.G.).

P.R. No. 590/05
Presented by Shri Sushil Dubey
dated 14-02-05

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. The Union of India, Through: Ministry of Railway, New Delhi.
2. The Chief Security Commissioner, R.P.F. East Coast Railway Chandra Shekharpur, Bhubneshwar (Orissa).
3. Sr. Divisional Security Commissioner, R.P.F. East Coast Railway Visakhapatnam, (Andhra Pradesh).

R/C Shri Yadav 14.02.05 Clerk to Shri NAYAK HARIT RLY Adv. 4600A

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 582 **सन् 2005**

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

24.08.2005

Mr. Sushil Dubey, learned counsel for the petitioner.

Mr. Vinod Deshmukh, learned counsel for respondent No.3.

I.A. No.1217 of 2005

This is an application for urgent hearing.

The application stands disposed of.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is an Inspector in RPF and was posted in East Coast Railway, Jagadalpur District Bastar. He has been suspended on 30.03.2004 by respondent No.3 pending departmental enquiry. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that no reasons have been assigned in the suspension order as such the suspension order is bad and deserves to be quashed.

On perusal of the papers, I found that suspension order dated 30.03.2004 (Annexure P-1) clearly indicates that pending departmental enquiry against the petitioner, the petitioner has been suspended.

In view of the fact that no other irregularity has been found and during pendency of the departmental enquiry, the employer is competent to pass an order of suspension.

In view of the foregoing, the petition is dismissed.

No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

Sarma

SP/FS/2005 - 20,000